

Quantum group symmetry of the quantum Hall effect on non-flat surfaces

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1996 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 559

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/29/3/010>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.71

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 04:08

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Quantum group symmetry of the quantum Hall effect on non-flat surfaces

M Alimohammadi^{†‡} and A Shafei Deh Abad^{†§}

[†] Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, PO Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

[‡] Physics Department, University of Tehran, North Karegar, Tehran, Iran

[§] Department of Mathematics, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

Received 6 April 1995, in final form 21 August 1995

Abstract. After showing that the magnetic translation operators are not the symmetries of the quantum Hall effect (QHE) on non-flat surfaces, we show that another set of operators which leads to the quantum group symmetries for some of these surfaces exists. As a first example we show that the $su(2)$ symmetry of the QHE on a sphere leads to $su_q(2)$ algebra in the equator. We explain this result by a contraction of $su(2)$. Second, with the help of the symmetry operators of QHE on the Poincaré upper half plane, we will show that the ground-state wavefunctions form a representation of the $su_q(2)$ algebra.

1. Introduction

After the discovery of the quantum Hall effect (QHE) [1] and the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) [2], Laughlin [3] introduced his interacting electron model and showed that the incompressible quantum fluid can explain the appearance of the plateaux in the FQHE for the filling factor $\nu = 1/m$, where m is an odd integer. In recent years there have been many attempts to explain this feature of incompressibility by the symmetries of the quantum mechanics of the two-dimensional planar motion of a non-relativistic particle in a uniform magnetic field. Recently Kogan [4] and Sato [5], by using the magnetic translation operator, showed that there exists a quantum group symmetry in this problem. They found that the following combination of the magnetic translation operator, $T_a = \exp(\mathbf{a} \cdot (\nabla + i\mathbf{A}))$, where \mathbf{a} is a constant vector and \mathbf{A} is the electromagnetic potential, could represent the $su_q(2)$ algebra:

$$J_{\pm} = \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} (\alpha_{\pm} T_{\pm a} + \beta_{\pm} T_{\pm b}) \quad q^{2J_3} = T_{b-a} \quad (1)$$

where $q = \exp(\frac{1}{2} i\mathbf{B} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}))$ and $\alpha_+ \beta_- = \beta_+ \alpha_- = -1$. We recall in passing that the $su_q(2)$ algebra is the q -deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $su(2)$, given by

$$[J_3, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm} \quad [J_+, J_-] = \frac{q^{2J_3} - q^{-2J_3}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (2)$$

Let $W_{n,\bar{n}} = T_a$ where $a_i = \epsilon_{ij} n_j$, then it can be shown that $W_{n,\bar{n}}$ satisfies the Fairlie–Fletcher–Zachos (FFZ) trigonometric algebra [6]. This algebra in the weak field limit ($B \rightarrow 0$) leads to the w_{∞} algebra, the algebra of the area-preserving diffeomorphism [7].

The simultaneous presence of the area-preserving diffeomorphism (the $su_q(2)$ symmetry) and incompressibility suggest that there may be a connection between them[†]. The same symmetry was also found for the topological torus [8].

In this paper we will study the same quantum group symmetry for non-flat surfaces. In section 2 we will show that the magnetic translation operators are the symmetries of the Hamiltonian only when the metric is flat. Therefore in the case of non-flat surfaces we must first look for the symmetry operators and then try to find any quantum group structure of them. In section 3 we will begin with the first non-trivial surface, the sphere. The problem of the motion of the electrons in the presence of a magnetic monopole and when the electrons are restricted to move on a sphere was first considered by Haldane [9]. He formulated the problem such that the symmetry algebra of the Hamiltonian is the $su(2)$ algebra, with generators which are represented by a special combination of the rotation and gauge transformation operators. We will consider the group elements of this algebra with non-constant parameters, that is the set of maps from S^2 to $SU(2)$. By studying its multiplication law we will recover the FFZ algebra for a special region of the sphere. We will try to explain this appearance of $su_q(2)$ from $su(2)$ by a special contraction of $su(2)$.

It is well known that the group of automorphisms of any genus $g \geq 2$ compact Riemann surface is discrete. So to look for any quantum group symmetry we have to consider non-compact surfaces. Here we consider the Poincaré upper half plane in section 3, and we will show that the $su_q(2)$ algebra is the symmetry of this surface.

When this paper was nearly finished, we became aware of a preprint [10] in which the quantum group symmetry of a system of electrons on a sphere had been discussed.

2. Symmetry properties of the magnetic translation operator

Consider a particle on a Riemann surface interacting with a monopole field, that is the integral of the field strength out of the surface differs from zero. The natural definition of the constant magnetic field is [11]

$$F_{\mu\nu} = B\sqrt{g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

and the Hamiltonian of the electron is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} (\partial_\mu - iA_\mu) \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\nu - iA_\nu) = \frac{1}{2m} \nabla^2 + \frac{B}{2m} \quad (4)$$

where $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$. In [11] this Hamiltonian was solved by choosing some special metrics.

Now consider the magnetic translation operator $T_\xi = e^{\xi^\mu D_\mu}$ which acts on scalars. Here $\xi = \xi^\mu \partial_\mu$ is a vector field and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu$. It can be shown that the operators T_ξ is a symmetry operator only when

$$[D_\mu, \nabla_\nu] = -\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = 0. \quad (5)$$

In other words we must be able to choose the symmetric gauge. But solving equations (4) and (5) gives the following condition

$$\partial_\mu (B\sqrt{g}) = 0. \quad (6)$$

As B is a constant, this equation shows that the symmetric gauge is possible only when the surface is flat. Therefore T_ξ does not commute with the Hamiltonian when the surface is not flat.

[†] We would like to thank one of the referees for his comment on this point. The incompressibility feature of the FQHE is a collective behaviour of the electrons results from the interacting Hamiltonian. Therefore the invariance of the single-particle problem under the area-preserving diffeomorphisms by itself does not imply incompressibility.

3. Electron on a sphere

Consider an electron which is restricted to move on a sphere with radius R , in the presence of a magnetic monopole at the centre of the sphere. The flux of the magnetic field B is quantized by the Dirac quantization condition $B = \hbar S/eR^2$, where S is half integer. The single-particle Hamiltonian is [9]

$$H = \frac{\Lambda^2}{2mR^2} \quad (7)$$

where $\Lambda = \mathbf{r} \times [-i\hbar\nabla + e\mathbf{A}]$, \mathbf{A} satisfies $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = B\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ ($\boldsymbol{\Omega} = R/R$) and $\Lambda \cdot \boldsymbol{\Omega} = 0$. By using gauge freedom, the electromagnetic potential can be taken as

$$\mathbf{A} = -\frac{\hbar S}{eR} \cot\theta \hat{\varphi}. \quad (8)$$

The eigenvalues of Λ^2 are $(\ell(\ell+1) - S^2)\hbar^2$ and the first Landau level is obtained for $\ell = s$ and is equal to $\hbar w_c/2$ ($w_c = eB/m$). It can be shown that the Λ_i 's satisfy the following relations:

$$[\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}(\Lambda_k - \hbar S\Omega_k) \quad (9)$$

and $\mathbf{L} = \Lambda + \hbar S\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ generates the $su(2)$ algebra

$$[L_i, L_j] = i\hbar\epsilon_{ijk}L_k. \quad (10)$$

The operators L_i are the generators of the symmetries of the Hamiltonian: $[H, L_i] = 0$.

Now consider the group elements of this algebra

$$R_\xi = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{L} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{R}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad R_\eta = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{L} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{R}\right) \quad (11)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \xi\hat{\theta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \eta\hat{\varphi}$. The product of these operators is

$$R_\xi R_\eta = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar R}(\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}) \cdot \Lambda - \frac{1}{2R^2\hbar^2}[\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \Lambda, \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \Lambda] + \frac{i}{3\hbar R}[\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \Lambda, M] + \dots\right\} \quad (12)$$

where M denotes the second term of the exponent. A simple calculation shows that

$$[\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \Lambda, \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \Lambda] = -i\hbar\xi\eta(\hbar S + \cot\theta\Lambda_\theta) \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Lambda_\theta = \hbar\left(S\cot\theta + \frac{i}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right).$$

Now the equality $\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \Lambda = \xi\Lambda_\theta$ implies that $[\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \Lambda, M] = 0$. Therefore

$$R_\xi R_\eta = \exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar R}(\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}) \cdot \Lambda + \frac{i\xi\eta}{2R^2\sin^2\theta}\left(S + \cos\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi}\right)\right\}. \quad (14)$$

If we restrict ourselves to the region $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we find that

$$R_\xi R_\eta = \exp\left(\frac{ieB\xi\eta}{2\hbar}\right) R_{\xi+\eta}. \quad (15)$$

Comparing this equation with the relation satisfied by the magnetic translation operator T_ξ [4]; i.e.

$$T_\xi T_\eta = \exp\left(\frac{ie}{2\hbar}\mathbf{B} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \boldsymbol{\eta})\right) T_{\xi+\eta} \quad (16)$$

we see that the algebra of the operator valued map $R_\xi : S^2 \rightarrow SU(2)$, when restricted to the circle $\theta = \pi/2$, is isomorphic to the magnetic translation algebra. Therefore by using the same construction as in equation (1), one can also derive the $su_q(2)$ algebra out of the operator R_ξ with

$$q = \exp\left(\frac{ie}{2\hbar} \mathbf{B} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \boldsymbol{\eta})\right).$$

This result may be made plausible if we note that the magnetic translation operator T_ξ can also be expressed as [4]

$$T_\xi = W_{n,\bar{n}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(nb^\dagger - \bar{n}b)\right) \quad (17)$$

where $b = 2p_{\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2}iBz$ and $b^\dagger = 2p_z + \frac{1}{2}iB\bar{z}$ and they satisfy the Heisenberg algebra: $[b, b^\dagger] = 2B$. On the other hand the $su(2)$ algebra which is used in the construction of R_ξ operators can be contracted to the Heisenberg algebra plus a rotation as follows. Let H, X^\pm be the generators of $su(2)$:

$$[H, X^\pm] = \pm 2X^\pm \quad [X^+, X^-] = H. \quad (18)$$

Define new generators H' and P^\pm as in $H = H' + 1/\epsilon^2$ and $P^\pm = \epsilon X^\pm$, then

$$[H', P^\pm] = \pm 2P^\pm \quad [P^+, P^-] = \epsilon^2 H' + 1. \quad (19)$$

At the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$[H', P^\pm] = \pm 2P^\pm \quad [P^+, P^-] = 1. \quad (20)$$

Therefore it is reasonable to expect that the algebra of the magnetic translation operator T_ξ which is the exponential of only the Heisenberg algebra, is obtained from the complicated algebra (12), when we restrict ourselves to the $\theta = \pi/2$ circle.

4. Electron on the Poincaré upper half plane

In this section we consider the Poincaré upper half plane $H = \{z = x + iy, y > 0\}$, with the following metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2}. \quad (21)$$

For a covariantly constant magnetic field B , a particular gauge choice leads to

$$A_z = A_{\bar{z}} = \frac{B}{2y}. \quad (22)$$

In this gauge the Hamiltonian (4) reduces to (for simplicity we take $m = 2$)

$$H = -y^2 \partial \bar{\partial} + \frac{iB}{2} y (\partial + \bar{\partial}) + \frac{B^2}{4} \quad (23)$$

and the ground states with energy $B/4$ are given by the solutions of the equation

$$\bar{\nabla} \psi_0 = \left(\bar{\partial} + \frac{B}{2iy}\right) \psi_0 = 0 \quad (24)$$

which are $\psi_0(z, \bar{z}) = y^B \psi_0(z)$.

It can be easily checked that there are two operators which commute with the Hamiltonian (23):

$$L_1 = \partial + \bar{\partial} = \partial_x \quad L_2 = z\partial + \bar{z}\bar{\partial} = x\partial_x + y\partial_y. \quad (25)$$

L_1 and L_2 are the generators of a subalgebra of $sl(2, R)$. Now let $b = L_1$ and $b^\dagger = L_1^{-1}L_2$ where $[b, b^\dagger] = 1$. Then we can choose the ground-state wavefunctions to be the eigenfunctions of b^\dagger . By a direct calculation it can be shown that

$$b^\dagger \psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) = \lambda \psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) \quad (26)$$

where

$$\psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) = y^B (\lambda - z)^{-B}. \quad (27)$$

Then if we consider the symmetry operator $T_\xi = \exp(\xi_1 b + \xi_2 b^\dagger)$ we obtain

$$T_\xi \psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) = \exp(\xi_2 \lambda - \frac{1}{2} \xi_1 \xi_2) \psi_0(\lambda - \xi_1|z, \bar{z}). \quad (28)$$

Now it can be verified that the generators of $su_q(2)$ are

$$J_+ = \frac{T_\xi - T_\eta}{q - q^{-1}} \quad J_- = \frac{T_{-\xi} - T_{-\eta}}{q - q^{-1}} \\ q^{2J_0} = T_{\xi - \eta} \quad \text{where } q = \exp(\frac{1}{2} \xi \times \eta) \quad (29)$$

and the ground-state wavefunctions are a representation of this algebra

$$J_+ \psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) = [1/2 - \lambda/\xi_1]_q \psi_0(\lambda - \xi_1|z, \bar{z}) \\ J_- \psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) = [1/2 + \lambda/\xi_1]_q \psi_0(\lambda + \xi_1|z, \bar{z}) \\ q^{\pm J_0} \psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) = q^{\mp \lambda/\xi_1} \psi_0(\lambda|z, \bar{z}) \quad (30)$$

where the quantum symbol $[x]_q$ is defined by

$$[x]_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (31)$$

In this way we have shown the quantum group symmetry of QHE on the Poincaré upper half plane. Note that we only considered single-particle wavefunctions. The case of an interacting system with Laughlin wavefunctions will be studied elsewhere.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Professor H Arfaei for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] Klitzing K V, Dorda G and Pepper M 1980 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45** 494
- [2] Tsui D C, Stromer H L and Gossard A C 1982 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** 1559
- [3] Laughlin R B 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** 1395
- [4] Kogan I I 1994 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **9** 3887
- [5] Sato H T 1994 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **9A** 451
- [6] Fairlie D B, Fletcher P and Zachos C K 1989 *Phys. Lett.* **218B** 203; 1990 *J. Math. Phys.* **31** 1088
Fairlie D B and Zachos C K 1989 *Phys. Lett.* **224B** 101
- [7] For instance see: Shen X 1992 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7** 6953
- [8] Sato H T 1994 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **9A** 1819
- [9] Haldane F D 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** 605
- [10] Aizawa N, Sachse S and Sato H T 1995 Laughlin states on the sphere as representation of $U_q(sl(2))$ hep-th/9412017
- [11] Comtet A 1987 *Ann. Phys.* **173** 185
Antoine M, Comtet A and Ouvry S 1990 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **23** 3699
Bolte J and Steiner F 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 3817
- [12] Iengo R and Li D 1994 *Nucl. Phys. B* **413** 735